# Exhibit

# LYNCH ICHIDA THOMPSON KIM & HIROTA

TIMOTHY J. HOGAN 5312-0 1132 Bishop Street, Suite 1405 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Tel. No. (808) 528-0100 Fax No. (808) 528-4997 E-mail: tih@loio.com

Attorney for Plaintiff WAYNE BERRY

FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII

JUL 2 2 2003

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

WAYNE BERRY, a Hawaii	Civ. No. CV <u>C V 03 0 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 </u>
citizen;	) (Copyright)
Plaintiff	) LEK
	VERIFIED COMPLAINT;
vs.	) EXHIBIT "A"; DEMAND
	) FOR JURY TRIAL; SUMMONS
HAWAIIAN EXPRESS SERVICE,	ý.
INC., a California corporation;	)·
H.E.S. TRANSPORTATION	)
SERVICES, INC., a California	)
corporation; CALIFORNIA	·
PACIFIC CONSOLIDATORS, INC.,	)
a California corporation; JEFFREY	) )
P. GRAHAM and PETER SCHAUL,	í
California citizens; DOE	, )
INDIVIDUALS 1-350;	) \
	<i>)</i> `
DOE PARTNERSHIPS,	
CORPORATIONS and OTHER	)
DOE ENTITIES 1-20,	)
Defendants.	)
	)

#### **VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Wayne Berry ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, and hereby complains of the above-entitled Defendants and alleges as follows:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction under federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Federal Copyright Act 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (b).
- 2. Venue is proper in the District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391and 1400 because the wrongs that are the subject of this complaint occurred in the State of Hawaii and were directed against one of its citizens. As set forth herein, each of the Defendants named herein have had sufficient minimum contacts with the state of Hawaii to be amenable to service of process by a Hawaii court.
- 3. Defendant Hawaiian Express Service, Inc. ("HEX") is a corporation, formed under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the State of California. HEX also maintains a place of business in the State of Hawaii and transacts business in the State of Hawaii. HEX, HEST and CALPAC, through the unauthorized use of Plaintiff's intellectual property ship a significant portion of all food stuffs sold to consumers in the State of Hawaii.

HEX may be found in the State and maintain offices and agent in the State of Hawaii and conducts sufficient business in the State of Hawaii that it has availed itself of the benefits of the State of Hawaii and has more than sufficient minimum contact with Hawaii to make it amenable to service in the State of Hawaii because it is found in the State.

Defendant H.E.S. Transportation Services, Inc. ("HEST") is a 4. corporation, formed under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the State of California. HEST transacts business in the State of Hawaii directly and through HEX and other entities. HEX, HEST and CALPAC, through the unauthorized use of Plaintiff's intellectual property, ships a significant portion of all food-stuffs sold to consumers in the State of Hawaii. HEST and its agents and bailees may be found in the State of Hawaii and maintain offices in the State of Hawaii and conducts sufficient business in the State of Hawaii that it has availed itself of the benefits of the State of Hawaii and has more than the sufficient minimum contact with Hawaii make it amenable to service in the State of Hawaii. By intentionally committing criminal and civil wrongs against a citizen of Hawaii HEST has had sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Hawaii to confer venue in this District.

- California corporation doing business in the state of Hawaii. CALPAC is a large supplier of refrigerated food products to the state of Hawaii and sells to both civilian and military customers. HEX, HEST and CALPAC, through the unauthorized use of Plaintiff's intellectual property, ships a significant portion of all food-stuffs sold to consumers in the State of Hawaii. CALPAC and its agents and bailees may be found in the State of Hawaii and maintain offices in the State of Hawaii and conducts sufficient business in the State of Hawaii that it has availed itself of the benefits of the State of Hawaii and has more than the sufficient minimum contact with Hawaii make it amenable to service in the State of Hawaii. By intentionally committing criminal and civil wrongs against a citizen of Hawaii HEST has had sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Hawaii to confer venue in this District.
- 6. Defendant Jeffrey P. Graham ("Graham") is a California
  Citizen and upon information and belief is the president of HFX, HEST and
  CALPAC. Graham has responsibility for causing and directing the infringing
  activities that are the subject of this complaint and derives a direct financial
  benefit from the infringement. Graham conducts sufficient business in the State of
  Hawaii that he may be found in the District of Hawaii and has purposely availed

Filed 02/25/2008

himself of the benefits of the State of Hawaii and has more than the sufficient minimum contact with Hawaii make him amenable to service in the State of Hawaii. By committing intentional wrongs against a citizen of Hawaii, Graham has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Hawaii to confer venue in this District.

- Defendant Peter Schaul ("Schaul") is a California Citizen and upon 7. information and belief is the general manager of HEX, HEST and CALPAC. Schaul has certain responsibility for causing and directing the infringing activities that are the subject of this complaint and derives a direct financial benefit from infringement. Schaul conducts sufficient business in the State of Hawaii and may be found in the State of Hawaii and he has purposely availed himself of the benefits of the State of Hawaii and has more than the sufficient minimum contact with Hawaii make him amenable to service in the State of Hawaii. By committing intentional wrongs against a citizen of Hawaii, Schaul has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Hawaii to confer venue in this District.
- Defendants Doe Individuals 1-350 and Doe Partnerships, 8. Corporations and Other Entities 1-20 are persons who may be liable to plaintiff for that acts and omissions complained of herein, but whose names, identities and capacities are presently unknown to plaintiff and his attorney. These additional

Doe defendants will be identified upon their discovery by plaintiff. Each user, and entity that derives a benefit from the infringement including the customers of each of the Defendants through the illegal use of the Berry Freight Control System is a separate infringer and when their identities are made know to Plaintiff will be made a party and serve as a separate basis for statutory damages should such damages be elected by plaintiff. Each of these Doe Defendants are knowingly engaged in intentional wrongful acts of infringement directed against a citizen of the State of Hawaii and it would be just that they be made a defendant in this proceeding.

- Plaintiff Wayne F. Berry (the "Plaintiff" and "Developer") is an 9. individual national domiciliary of the United States and is a resident and citizen of the State of Hawaii and, as the software developer victim of HEX, Graham, Schaul HEST, CALPAC and the Doe Defendants' intentional, criminal and willful infringement has standing to bring the instant action. All software that is the subject of this Verified Complaint was authored in the United States of America.
- Upon information and belief, the majority of all acts conferring 10. venue relevant to this proceeding occurred in the State of Hawaii. Each of the defendants are actively engaged in business activities in the State of Hawaii and have derived a direct financial benefit from the infringement that is the subject of

this Complaint and may be found in the State of Hawaii.

Case 1:07-cv-07634-WHP

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- On or about 1993, Plaintiff created an original work of authorship 11. which was then fixed as a tangible medium of expression. (the "Freight Control System").
  - This original work was first published in 1995. 12.
- The work was an original work and contained substantial amounts of 13. material created by Plaintiff's own skill, labor and judgment.
- All of the subject software work is copyrightable under the laws of 14. the United States of America.
- On or about October 1999, Plaintiff complied with the statutory 15. formalities for registering his copyright in "Freight Control System" by fully complying with Federal laws and regulations by depositing with the Copyright Office, two copies of the best version of the Freight Control System source code, filing the application and paying the required fees.
- Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff received a filed registration for Freight 16. Control System from the Copyright Office. The date, class and registration number certificate received from the Registrar of Copyrights is as follows: "Freight Control System" dated October 19, 1999, Copyright Registration

Number TX 5-079-445. A true and correct copy of the Filed Registration is attached as Exhibit "A."

- 17. All publications of the instant software have been with proper statutory notice.
- 18. Freight Control System is a program Plaintiff wrote in Microsoft

  Access and Visual Basic using both the Visual Development Environment and
  hand-coding. Freight Control System is primarily a database designed to control
  and monitor consolidation and containerization of freight.
- macros that handle Accounts Receivable, Accounts Payable, Job Costing, Logistic Scheduling and Real-Time Shipment Tracking. The principle unique feature of the database is the ability for a user of this system to control a large number of Purchase Orders and annotate shipping information to the records for billing and tracking purposes along with planning and scheduling efficiencies that translate directly to lower freight costs. The user can then build containers, which contain these individual Purchase Orders. The user can enter costs for both individual Purchase Orders and for entire containers. The system will allocate container costs to the individual Purchase Orders. Finally, the "Bill To" party can be invoiced for the shipment and a profitability derived from the billed amount less the allocated

costs.

- Starting no later than October 1999, HEX began to operate the Berry 20. Freight Control System under the claim that it was authorized under a license granted by Plaintiff to Fleming Companies, Inc. ("Fleming").
- Fleming is a willful infringer based on the jury verdict finding that 21. Fleming has created unlicensed derivative copies of the Freight Control System.
- Upon information and belief, HEX, HEST and/or CALPAC have 22. obtained an illegal pirated copy of the Freight Control System and/or have been using a illegal unlicensed derivative of the Freight Control System. Shane Kelley, HEX, HEST and/or CALPAC's computer consultant admitted in a telephone conversation with Wayne Berry that he had "seen his code." Shane Kelley informed Mr. Berry that he would investigate the infringement and call him back with the results unless his investigation showed serious infringement. Mr. Kelley never called back.
- HEST, HEX and CALPAC have had access to Mr. Berry's original work and have used an illegal derivative that is used to generate financial gain for all defendants.
- Upon information and belief HEST, HEX and CALPAC continue to 24. use the Freight Control System and/or its derivative software to make profits

derived from the illegal use of a copyrighted work in violation of the Copyright Act or, by possessing a copy, threaten the future use of such illegal software.

- 25. Plaintiff has never authorized this use and has informed defendants and their attorney that the use of the software constitutes infringement.
- 26. On January 4, 2002, Defendant HEX answered Plaintiff Wayne Berry's First Request for Answers to Interrogatories, in *Wayne Berry v. Fleming Companies*, *Inc.*, Civ. No. CV01-00446 SPK LEK. (the "Interrogatory Responses").
- 27. In the Interrogatory Responses, HEX admitted, under oath, that, at the direction of Fleming Companies, Inc., HEST uses the Berry Freight Control System software to input information required by Fleming to comply with an oral contract between Fleming and HEST.
- 28. In a deposition, Fleming's Hawaii division president Ralph Stussi testified under oath that Fleming's contract was with HEX and had never heard of HEST.
- 29. HEX, HEST, CALPAC, Shaul and Graham have, and through future infringement will, all continue to derive revenue from the use of the Freight Control System.
- 30. None of the defendants herein are licensed to use the Berry Freight Control System.

- 31. Neither HEX, HEST, CALPAC, Shaul and Graham nor any of their agents and/or bailees have any right to use the Freight Control System.
- 32. Plaintiff has not given HEX, HEST, CALPAC Shaul and Graham permission to use his software and they are guilty of willful infringement.
- 33. By letter dated April 9, 2003, Plaintiff demanded that HEX and HEST provide Plaintiff with satisfactory proof that they have stopped using his Freight Control System software.
- 34. On May 1, 2003, defendants' attorney, Karen Fine, Esq. wrote in response to the letter stating that all access to the Fleming system had been terminated.
- 35. Plaintiff alleges that defendants have obtained a pirated copy of his software.
- 36. Fleming, HEX and HEST's principal, has admitted that it has granted HEX permission to use Plaintiff's software. Fleming has been found a willful infringer and had no lawful right to grant such a license.
  - 37. HEX has admitted that HEST is using Plaintiff's software.
- 38. This software contains trade secrets and the use by Defendants constitute infringement and Plaintiff continues to suffer irreparable harm.
  - 39. From and after October 1, 1999 to at least May 9, 2003, Defendants have

Page 13 of 23

infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright as follows:

Using software by remote access that places a copy of the Plaintiff's a. software on the computer of no less than ten users;

Document 42-4

- Using the software after receiving notice of the infringement and demand Ъ. to cease and desist, and
- Providing illegal copies to third-parties including employees, agents, C. software developers and technicians who are not yet identified to Plaintiff by who are all infringers and who will be named later.
- Using third-party application sharing technology to permit unlicenced d. users to use the Plaintiff's software in violation of his copyrights.
  - Deriving profits from the illegal use of the software. e.
- Defendants' activities constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright 40. and Defendants have continued such conduct notwithstanding such notice.

# DIRECT INFRINGEMENT DAMAGES.

For each of the defendants and the Doe Defendants yet to be named, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages and his lost profits plus any profits made by the infringers from the use of the Freight Control System software by any of the defendants as a direct infringer. These amounts will be determined at time of trial. Plaintiff reserves his right to claim, at a later date, the right to statutory damages based on each defendants' separate liability for infringement.

- 42. Each time the Berry Freight Control System was used by the infringers, a copy of the software was created and this constitutes illegal copying.
- Each employee and/or agent of defendants HEX and HEST is a separate 43. infringer and Plaintiff is entitled to full statutory damages, upon election, for the willful infringement of each of these individual users up to \$150,000 for each user.

# CONTRIBUTORY AND VICARIOUS INFRINGEMENT DAMAGES.

- For each of the defendants and the Doe Defendants yet to be named, 44. Plaintiff claims the right to damages based on theories of contributory and/or vicarious infringement.
- As to vicarious liability, each defendant that has the ability to control the 45. infringing use and is liable jointly and severally with the direct infringer for all damages resulting from the direct infringement.
- Defendant Schaul and Graham, HEX, HEST and CALPAC are the first 46. Other officers, shareholders identified vicarious and contributory infringers. directors and principals are also liable as vicarious and contributory infringers these Doe Defendants shall be identified as they are discovered.
- As to contributory infringement each defendant who knowingly contributes to another's infringement is liable for the infringement based on the direct

infringement by HEST, HEX, CALPAC and or Doe agents and employees as endusers. Defendants knew or should have known of the infringement and directly and materially contributed to the direct infringement.

- As to vicarious infringement each defendant had the right and ability to 48. supervise infringing activity and also has a direct financial interest in such activities.
- As to each of these defendants, Plaintiff reserves, but does not yet elect, his right to statutory damages based on the infringement of each employee, customer and other user of the Freight Control System regarding defendants each as a separate infringer and a separate basis for joint statutory damages should Plaintiff elect statutory damages.
- Plaintiff also gives notice of his intent to seek costs and attorney's fees 50. as provided by law.

## PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Based on the threat of continued violation of the copyright by its 51. unauthorized use and transfers of unauthorized copies to third parties through application sharing technology or otherwise, Plaintiff is suffering irreparable injury and, because HEX, HEST and CALPAC have no lawful right to use the Freight Control System. Plaintiff has a strong likelihood of success on the merits and seeks a preliminary injunction as follows:

- preliminary injunction against HEX, HEST, CALPAC and anyone a. claiming any rights to use the software derived from HEX and HEST,
- impoundment of the infringing software during the pendency of this b. litigation,
- preliminary injunction against infringing of the copyright, c.
- permanent injunction against the infringement of the copyright, d.
- seizure of all computer files derived from the infringement. e.
- That Plaintiff or his agents be permitted to inspect the defendant's 52. business premises during normal business hours to verify that defendant's have complied with the Court's injunction.

#### WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR:

- A. A temporary and permanent injunction directed to Defendants, and any agent or bailee of Defendants, as set forth herein and in the accompanying Motion, from using any software derived in part from the source code of Plaintiff's software as evidenced by the registration of copyrights;
- B. An order permitting Plaintiff and or the United States Marshall or his/her. Deputy to enter upon Defendants' or their agents' and/or bailees' premises and to enter upon the defendant's property and take possession of all software and data derived from the software that relates in any way to the software that is the subject

of this case;

C. Damages for infringement of the copyright as provided by the Copyright Act without limitation;

Document 42-4

- Accounting for all gains and profits derived by Defendants through D. infringement of copyright including a detailed accounting of all corporate and individual defendants' revenues produced from November 1, 1999 to the date of the order or the date that the infringement ceased, which ever is later;
- Delivery by Defendant of all copies of the offending software and all E. data created and the database associated with the software for destruction;
  - Payment of the costs of the action and reasonable attorney's fees and; F.
  - Such other and further relief as may be just. G.

Dated: Honolulu, Hawaii,

Attorney for Plaintiff WAYNE BERRY

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

WAYNE BERRY,	)	Civ. No. CV
,	)	(Copyright)
Plaintiff,	)	
•	j	VERIFICATION
vs.	)	
	)	
HAWAIIAN EXPRESS SERVICE,	)	
INC., a California corporation;	)	
H.E.S. TRANSPORTATION, INC.,	)	
a California corporation;	)	
CALIFORNIA PACIFIC	)	
CONSOLIDATORS, INC., a	)	
California corporation; JEFFREY	)	
P. GRAHAM and PETER SCHAUL,	.)	
California citizens; DOE	)	
INDIVIDUALS 1-350;	)	
DOE PARTNERSHIPS,	)	
CORPORATIONS and OTHER	)	
DOE ENTITIES 1-20,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	_)	

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, WAYNE BERRY, have read the foregoing Complaint; Exhibit "A", the facts and the allegations stated herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. The document attached as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of my business records kept in the regular course of business.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii,	7/22/2003	•
•	WAYNEBE	Bury RRY J
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22Md day of 5024	, 2003. 	
Notary Public, State of Hawaii  PAUL E. STRACK  My Connission Expires:	6/6/2004	OF SHAPE

This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, United States Code,

attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been

# CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION

STATES COPYRICHA

For a Nondramatic Literary Work
UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE





EFFEURY

Marybeth Geters LIBRARY OF CONGE OFFICIAL GHOLWRITE ABOVE THIS LINE IF YOU NEED NOWE SPACE USE A SEPURATE CONTINUATION SHEET. TITLE OF THIS WORK Y

Freight Control System PREVIOUS OR ALTERNATIVE TITLES ¥ PUBLICATION AS A CONTRIBUTION If this work was published as a contribution to a periodical, sepa), or collection, give information about the collective work in which the contribution appeared.

Title of Collective Work 

Title Of Collect

made a part of the Copyright Office records.

On Pages V Luce Due V If published in a periodical or serial give: Volume V Number ▼ DATES OF BIRTH AND DEATH Year Born ▼ Year Died ▼ NAME OF AUTHOR ▼

1955 Wayne Foster Berry WAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICILE If the answer to some of these questions is "Yes," see detailed Was this contribution to the work a work made for hire? Citizen of LUSA □Yes ☑ No Аполутючь? □ Yes industions. ☐Yes ☐ No Domiciled in Pscudonymous? ☑ No

NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Briefly describe nature of material created by this author in which copyright is claimed. Computer Program

DATES OF BIRTH AND DEATH Year Born ▼ Year Died ▼ NAME OF AUTHOR V WAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICILE Was this contribution to the work a work made for hire\*? If the property either of these quanties is "Yes," see detailed instructions. □Yes □ No Anonymous? Citizen of . O Yes □ Yes □ No Pacudonymous? Domiciled in □ No

NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Briefly describe assure of material desired by this author in which copyright is claimed.

DATES OF BIRTH AND DEATH Year Born ▼ Year Died ▼ NAME OF AUTHOR ▼ WAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICILE Was this contribution to the work a work made for hire? if the arrawer to either of these questions is Yes. No instructions. Anonymous? Citizen of ☐ Yes U Yes D No Paradenymous? Donklad in it.

ONe NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Erlefty describe nature of material created by this author in which copyright is claimed.

DATE AND NATION OF FIRST PUBLICATION OF THIS PARTICULAR WORK YEAR IN WHICH CREATION OF THIS WORK WAS COMPLETED The information 1993 (Year In all cases. Complete this informs ONLY II this work has been published. a USA COPYRIGHT CLAIMANT(S) Name and address must be given even if the claimant is the same as

the author given in space 2. W Wayne Foster Berry 425 South Street, #2603 A Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

TRANSFER if the claimant(s) named here in space 4 is (are) different from the author(s) named in space 2, give a brief statement of how the claimant(s) obtained ownership of the copyright.

	APPLICATION RECEIVED
	ONE DEPOSIT RECEIVED
	TWO DEPOSITS RECEIVED
55 55	FUNDS RECEIVED

MORE ON BACK > Complete all applicable spaces (numbers 5-9) on the reverse side of this page. - See detailed instructions.

DO NOT WHITE HERE Page 1 of \_\_\_\_\_ pages



is the two.

" "author" of "
" "on't made
" "on't made
or line" is generally the
employes, rol
the employes, rol
the employes
(see instructiona). For any
sen of this
work thail was
"made for hise"
"made for hise"
"made for hise"
the speca
provided, give
the amployer
(or other
person for
whom the work
was propared) C was prepared) as Author of that part, and tense the space for dates of birth and

NOTE

ser the bre

•	EXAMINED	ma	<del>,</del>	FOR	M IA
		ESPONDENCE		COPY OFI	OR RIGHT FICE SE NLY
	AND A SEPARA	TE CONTINUAT	ON SHEET.		
REVIOUS RI Yes No 1	O NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE. IF YOU NEED MORE SPACE, USE A SEPARA  CGISTRATION Has registration for this work, or for an earlier version of this work, already by  If your answer is "Yes," why is another registration being sought? (Check appropriate box.) \(\nabla\)  Inst published edition of a work previously registered in unpublished form.  It is application submitted by this author as copyright claims.	cen made in the Cop	er of the control of	هنگوشاری و در آنهی بستان ا	5
		Registration >			
ERIVATIVE	WORK OR COMPILATION ertal Identify any precuising work or works that this work is based on or incorporates. ▼		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		6 educations completing
daterial Added	to This Work. Give a brief, general materies of the material that has been added to this work a	nd in which copyrig	ght is claimed. ♥	1)	ece.
			ny inganagana na katalan ing katalan na katal		10-12 <b>(6)</b>
Name V	COUNT If the registration fee is to be charged to a Deposit Account established in the Copyri, Account Number V			a =,	
CORRESPON Wayne For 425 South Honolulu,	NDENCE. Give name and address to which the epode not about this application should be acted Berry Street, #2603 Hawaii 96813	na. Name/Addin	as/Api/City/State/ZIP▼	a = b	Na san
CORRESPON Wayne For 425 South Honolulu,	NDENCE Give name and address to which correspond-nor about this application should be so ster Berry Street, #2603 Hawaii 96813  Street to be a supplied to the street of t		as/Api/City/State/ZIP▼	=	
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Area code and de Email Wayn CERTIFICAT	NDENCE Give name and address to which conceptond-net about this application should be acted. #2603 Hawaii 96813  Address telephone number > 808-545-5817  Fas rant  TION* I the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the  Check only one. >  Check only one.    Other copyright claimant  owner of exclusive right(s)  certified in this application and that the statements made  inclination are correct to the best of my knowledge.	ber > 808-545-	5837  Separate of exclusive right(s)	])	8
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Area code and de Email Wayn CERTIFICAT	NDENCE Give name and address to which conceptond-net about this application should be acted. #2603 Hawaii 96813  Address telephone number > 808-545-5817  Fas rant  TION* I the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the  Check only one. >  Check only one.    Other copyright claimant  owner of exclusive right(s)  certified in this application and that the statements made  inclination are correct to the best of my knowledge.	ber > 808-545-	5837  Separative (In the second secon	])	8
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Area code and de Entel Wayn CERTIFICAT of the work idi by me in this a	NDENCE Give name and address to which concepond-nor about this application should be acted. #2603 Hawaii 96813  prime telephone number > 808-545-5817  Fai rant  TION* Life undersigned, hereby certify that I am the  Check only one. >   Check only	ber > 808-545-	5837  Separate of exclusive right(s)	])	8
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Area code and de Entel Wayn CERTIFICAT of the work idi by me in this a	NDENCE Give name and address to which correspondence about this application should be so ster Berry  Street, #2603 Hawaii 96813  White telephone number > 808-545-5817  Fax read  TION* Lithe undereigned, hereby certify that I am the  Check only one.   Check only one.   Check only one of exclusive right(s)  entified in this application and that the statements made  ontified in this application and that the statements made  piplication are correct to the best of my knowledge.  Name of authorized agent of	Name/Address  808-545-  rether copyright claim  built it before that	5837  Sept April City/State / ZIP ▼  5837  September of exclusive right(s) date.  9-27-99	1)	8
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Area code and de Email Wayn CERTIFICAT of the work idi by me in this a	NDENCE Give name and address to which correspond-nor about this application should be so ster Berry  Street, #2603  Hawaii 96813  Milina telephona number > 808-545-5817  Far num  Check only one.   Substreet copyright claims in the copyright claims in this application and that the statements made authorized agent of publication are correct to the best of my knowledge.  The substreet is the statement of publication in space 3, do not sign and substreet Berry	Name/Address  808-545-  rether copyright claim  built it before that	5837  5837  5837  5837  5837  59 PANNOCIE - Ann Calcino Maria  59 - 27 - 99		8
CORRESPOI Wayne For 425 South Honolulu, Arse code and de Email Wayn CERTIFICAT of the work idi by me in this a	NDENCE Give name and address to which correspond-nor about this application should be so ster Berry  Street, #2603  Hawaii 96813  Milina telephona number > 808-545-5817  Far num  Check only one.   Substreet copyright claims in the copyright claims in this application and that the statements made authorized agent of publication are correct to the best of my knowledge.  The substreet is the statement of publication in space 3, do not sign and substreet Berry	Name/Address  808-545-  rether copyright claim  built it before that	5837  5837  September of exclusive right(s) date.  9-27-99		<b>-</b> 9

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

WAYNE BERRY,	) Civ. No. CV
	) (Copyright)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
vs.	) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	)
HAWAIIAN EXPRESS SERVICE,	)
INC., a California corporation;	)
H.E.S. TRANSPORTATION	) ·
SERVICES, INC., a California	)
corporation; CALIFORNIA	)
PACIFIC CONSOLIDATORS, INC.,	)
a California corporation; JEFFREY	)
P. GRAHAM and PETER SCHAUL,	)
California citizens; DOE	)
INDIVIDUALS 1-350; DOE	)
PARTNERSHIPS,	)
CORPORATIONS and OTHER	) ·
DOE ENTITIES 1-20,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
·	)

## DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Wayne Berry hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues triable thereto.

Dated: Honolulu, Hawaii,

TIMOTHY J. HOGAN.

Attorney for Plaintiff WAYNE BERRY

AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Ag	
United States	District Court
DISTRIC	CT OF Hawaii
WAYNE BERRY, a Hawaii citizen,	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE
V.  HAWAIIAN EXPRESS SERVICE, INC., a California corporation; H.E.S. TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, a California corporation; CALIFORNIA PACIFIC CONSOLIDATORS, INC., a California corporation JEFFREY P. GRAHAM and PETER SCHAUL, Californic citizens; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-350; DOE PARTNERS CORPORATIONS and OTHER DOE ENTITIES 1-20, TO: (Name and address of defendant)  The Above-Named Defendants	n; la
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to required to serve upon Lynch Ichida Thompson TIMOTHY J. HOGAN, ESC 1132 Bishop Street Suite 1405 Honolulu, HI 96813 Phone: 808-528-0100 Fax: 808-528-4997 None	Kim & Hirota
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fai you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You must also reasonable period of time after service.	I to do so, judgment by default will be taken against
WALTER A.Y.H. CHINN	BUL 2 2 2003

CLERK Is/ Erin Taniguchi SEAL (BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE